



ณัฐพัชร อารีรัชกุลกานต์ <natapat.ar@ssru.ac.th>

ICSIT 2024 - Double blind review

1 ข้อความ

icsit-reviewers@mail.iiis-spring24.org <icsit-reviewers@mail.iiis-spring24.org>
ถึง: natapat.ar@ssru.ac.th

20 ธันวาคม 2566 เวลา 13:00

Dear Prof. Natapat Areerakulkan,

Thank you for your support in the reviewing process of The 15th International Conference on Society and Information Technologies: ICSIT 2024.

We would be very grateful if you could review the article indicated below, and we will really appreciate if you can send us your reviewing results within 14 days from the moment that you receive this e-mail. This will really help us to catch up on time, and meet the acceptance deadline. As a reviewer, your name will be listed in both versions of the Conference Proceedings: hardcopy and CD.

You may download the paper and submit your review, or decline to review it, at the following URL:

<https://www.iiis-spring24.org/icsit/reviewers.asp>

Using the following data:

Paper Title: Creative economy improvement with entrepreneurship for the people of semarang city

Username: Natapat
Password: 5523H

Please note that the submission you are about to see has not been previously reviewed nor screened. It will be shown to you as it was sent by its author(s), with the same editing faults and non-completeness that is typical of abstracts and draft papers.

It is important to inform you that the conferences organized by the International Institute of Informatics and Systemics (IIIS) have, in their peer reviewing methodology, three-tier reviews: open (or non-blind), double-blind, and participative reviews. Final acceptance depends of the three kinds of reviews but a paper should be recommended by non-anonymous (non-blind) reviewers AND anonymous (double-blind) reviewers in order to be accepted for presentation at the conference and to be included in the respective conference proceedings. A recommendation to accept made by non-anonymous reviewers is a necessary condition, but it is not a sufficient one. A submission, to be accepted, should also have a majority of its double-blind reviewers recommending its acceptance.

This double necessary conditions generate a more reliable and rigorous reviewing than those reviewing methods based on just one of the indicated methods, or just on the traditional double-blind reviewing. More details on this issue, as well as notes for reviewers and authors, can be found on the conference website under the 'General Info' tab by clicking on the 'Peer-Review Methodology' link.

The following guidelines are suggested to evaluate the assigned article:

1. Please, remember that your review will be input for the achievement of

two reviewing objectives: 1) providing constructive feedback to the respective author in order to improve his/her article, and 2) providing a recommendation to the Organizing Committee for the acceptance or non-acceptance of the reviewed article. Your quantitative evaluation might be used to select best papers in order to award the respective authors, and/or to decide the possible publication of an extended version of the article in the respective IIS' journal.

2. If the article is an extended abstract (600-2000 words), please evaluate it according to the intention of the author.

3. If it is a draft paper (2000-5000 words), evaluate it according to the solution provided by the author, and the content of the article.

4. If the article is a short abstract (300-600 words), evaluate it according to the intention of the author, and its adequacy for inter-disciplinary communication.

5. You will be asked to provide us with quantitative evaluations, some of which are optional. These are related to different criteria; so, even though they are optional, it is still important that you provide us with as many of them as possible.

6. Your qualitative evaluation, comments, and constructive feedback might be sent to the author of the article so he/she is able to improve the last version of the article as well as its presentation in the conference.

7. If you are in a double-blind reviewing, your name will not be known to the author of the article; but if you are in a non-blind, open reviewing, your name may be known by the author of the article you are reviewing.

Best regards,

ICSIT 2024 Organizing Committee